

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,463 09		09/15/2000	Charles Petruccelli	9547-3	3649
20322	7590	06/24/2004		EXAM	INER
SNELL & V	VILMER	.	OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P		
ONE ARIZO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
400 EAST VAN BUREN				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DIACENTY A 7 050040001				2620	

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/662,463	PETRUCCELLI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629 MW						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state the period for reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daily will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	March 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.								
· · · · _ · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · — · ·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)	_							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I							
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/662,463

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 27-32 have been added; therefore, Claims 1-32 are currently pending in application 09/662,463.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. The rejection of Claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. 101 is with drawn due to applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. <u>Claims 1-26</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauffer (US 6,223,165 B1) in view of Taufique (WO 01/20518 A1).
- 5. As per Claims 1, 8, 9, 13, and 20, Lauffer discloses a method (system, computer-readable storage, device) for <u>facilitating the distribution of</u> travel-related information, comprising: communicating with a customer over a computer network, wherein communicating with the customer includes receiving contact information from the customer; identifying a plurality of experts, wherein the plurality of experts are in selective communication with

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/662,463 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

a destination expert server; receiving from the customer a request relating to a destination city to which the customer <u>desires to travel</u>; <u>facilitating a selection of a</u> destination expert <u>from</u> the plurality of experts, wherein the destination expert has particular knowledge about the destination city (Abstract, C1 L19-67, C2 L1-36, C4 L46-67, C5 L1-10, C6 L1-28, Claims 1-19).

- 6. Lauffer fails to expressly disclose forwarding the customer's request and the customer's contact information to the destination expert, such that the destination expert can communicate with the customer to provide response to the customer request.
- 7. However, Lauffer does disclose matching the customer with an expert, and supplying the customer with the experts contact information so the customer can contact the expert to obtain information (C4 L46-60).
- 8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included forwarding the customer's request and the customer's contact information to the destination expert, such that the destination expert can communicate with the customer to provide response to the customer request, in the system disclosed by Lauffer, for the advantage of providing a method (system, computer-readable storage, device) for providing travel-related information, with the ability to increase customer security by providing the customer with expert contact information, allowing the customer to remain anonymous.
- 9. Lauffer also fails to expressly disclose retrieving from an answer database, an answer to the customer request, such that the destination expert response includes the retrieved answer.

Application/Control Number: 09/662,463 Page 4

Art Unit: 3629

10. However, Taufique discloses retrieving answers to related previously answered questions for system users upon request (pg.6-8).

- 11. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included retrieving from an answer database, an answer to the customer request, such that the destination expert response includes the retrieved answer as disclosed by Taufique, in the system disclosed by Lauffer, for the advantage of providing a method (system, computer-readable storage, device) for providing travel-related information, with the ability to increase system effectiveness and efficiency by recycling frequently asked/answered questions to system users requiring similar knowledge.
- 12. As per Claims 2, 10, 14, and 21, Lauffer and Taufique fail to expressly disclose wherein facilitating selection of a destination expert comprises determining a destination expert of the plurality of experts, wherein the destination expert is located in the destination city or confirming that the destination expert is familiar with the destination.
- 13. However, Lauffer does disclose obtaining expert characteristics to include: details of expertise, address, and quality scores (Abstract, C1 L19-67, C2 L1-36).
- 14. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included wherein determining a destination expert comprises determining a destination expert of the plurality of experts, wherein the destination expert is located in the destination city or confirming that the destination expert is familiar with the destination, in the system disclosed by Lauffer, for the advantage of providing a method (system, computer-readable storage, device) for providing travel-related

Application/Control Number: 09/662,463

Art Unit: 3629

information, with the ability to increase quality service by ensuring the experts have the qualification necessary to offer correct advice.

Page 5

- 15. As per Claims 3, 15, and 22, *Lauffer* and Taufique disclose wherein communicating with the customer over the computer network includes receiving a credit card number from the customer.
- 16. As per Claims 4, 16, and 23, Lauffer and Taufique fail to expressly discloses wherein the destination expert response includes an offer to book reservations relating to the customer request.
- 17. However, official notice is given (and accepted by applicant as indicated by lack of response in remarks received 3/2/04) that such reservation booking services were well known at the time the invention was made, and it would have been obvious to include such a booking service in the system disclosed by Lauffer, for the advantage of providing a method (system, computer-readable storage, device) for providing travel-related information, with the ability to increase customer satisfaction by completing the travel related inquiry by booking the travel related service.
- 18. As per Claims 5, 17, and 24, *Lauffer* and Taufique disclose receiving the destination expert response from the destination expert and forwarding the destination expert response to the customer.
- 19. As per Claims 6, 18, and 25, *Lauffer* and Taufique disclose facilitating a transaction with the customer, wherein the transaction relates to the customer request.
- 20. As per Claims 7, 19, and 26, *Lauffer* and Taufique disclose monitoring communications of the destination expert server.

Application/Control Number: 09/662,463 Page 6

Art Unit: 3629

21. As per Claim 11, *Lauffer* and Taufique disclose wherein the destination expert server is accessible to the customer via the Internet.

- 22. As per Claim 12, *Lauffer* and Taufique disclose wherein the plurality of experts is in selective communication with the destination expert server via electronic mail.
- 23. As per Claims 27, 29, and 31, Lauffer and *Taufique* disclose wherein the step of retrieving an answer from an answer database is performed automatically without intervention by the destination expert.
- 24. As per Claims 28, 30, and 32, Lauffer and *Taufique* disclose transmitting the automatically retrieved answer to the customer.

Response to Arguments

- 25. Applicant's arguments filed 3/2/04, with respect to Claims 1-32, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 26. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 27. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/662,463

Art Unit: 3629

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Page 7

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

28. Additional Non-Patent Literature has been referenced on the attached PTO-892 form, and

the Examiner suggests the applicant review these documents before submitting any

amendments.

29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (703) 605-

0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am -

5:00pm.

30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 872-9306 for all

official communications.

31. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

June 17 2004

John G. Weiss

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ges. A

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600